

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,183	02/13/2001	Stephan P. Capps	MCS-058-00	7809
27662 75	90 • 04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
MICROSOFT CORPORATION			CORRIELUS, JEAN M	
C/O LYON & I	,		ADTIBUT	DADED MUMDED
300 ESPLANADE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			2162	
OXNARD, CA 93036			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/681,183	CAPPS, STEPHAN P.	CAPPS, STEPHAN P.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jean M. Corrielus	2162			

= or or or third in the pour = rec	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean M. Corrielus	2162	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in Iliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later th</li> </ul>	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	-	OWT NIHTIW O
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must !</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			~ <i>)</i> .
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered TE below);	because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North of the sufficient reasons why the affidate of the sufficient reasons why the affidate of the sufficient reasons why the affidate of the sufficient reasons which we will be sufficient to the sufficient reasons which we will be sufficient reasons where the su	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	<
		Jean Meorrielus	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2162	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but not place the application in condition for allowance. Lee and Thomer substantially disclose the invention as broadly claimed. Please, refer to the last office action..